

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,467	05/07/2004	Che-Li Lin	12919-US-PA	3466
0.000	2 CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE		EXAMINER	
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100		PIZIALI, JEFFREY J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TAIWAN			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USA@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW Belinda@JCIPGROUP.COM.TW

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/709,467	LIN, CHE-LI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JEFF PIZIALI	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ma	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or e	vn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original than the original than the correction of the original than the origina	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a color management structure (claims 1-11), a source driver (claims 12-16), a color management structure (claims 17-18), and a panel display (claims 19-20), classified in class 349, subclass 33 (e.g., display device structure).
 - Π. Claim 21, drawn to a color management method, classified in class 345, subclass 214 (e.g., methods of controlling display element conditions).

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use.

The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h).

(1) In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the structure of claims 1-11).

For example, the process as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the structure of claims 1-11) not including at least "a plurality of gate drivers; a plurality of source drivers, said plurality of gate drivers and said plurality of source drivers driving said display array unit to display an image; and a timing sequence control unit, said timing sequence control unit outputting a plurality of signals to said plurality of gate drivers and said plurality of source drivers to drive said display array unit, said timing sequence control unit outputting a clock signal and a digital color management data to said plurality of source drivers" as claimed in independent claim 1 (lines 4-12).

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the driver of claims 12-16).

For example, the process as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the driver of claims 12-16) not including at least "a source drive circuit to drive said display array unit; and a programmable data interface receiving a digital color management data and a clock signal to parallel output a plurality of color voltage level signals to said source drive circuit" as claimed in independent claim 12 (lines 3-6).

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the structure of claims 17-18).

For example, the process as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the structure of claims 17-18) not including at least "a plurality of gate drivers; a plurality of source drivers, said plurality of gate drivers and said plurality of source drivers driving said display array unit to display an image; a timing sequence control unit, said timing sequence control unit outputting a plurality of signals to said plurality of gate drivers and said plurality of source drivers to drive said display array unit, said timing sequence control unit outputting a clock signal; and a color management interface system, coupled to said timing sequence control unit and said plurality of source drivers, generating a digital color management data to said plurality of source drivers" as claimed in independent claim 17 (lines 4-13).

In the instant case, the process for using the product as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the display of claims 19-20).

For example, the process as claimed (the method of claim 21) can be practiced with another materially different product (than the structure of claims 19-20) not including at least "a plurality of drivers driving said display array unit to display an image; and a timing sequence control unit, said timing sequence control unit outputting a plurality of signals to said

plurality of drivers to drive said display array unit, said timing sequence control unit outputting a clock signal and a digital color management data to said plurality of drivers" as claimed in independent claim 19 (lines 3-8).

(2) In the instant case, the product as claimed (in claims 1-20) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (than the method of claim 21).

For example, the product as claimed (in claims 1-20) can be used in a materially different process of using that product (than the method of claim 21) without, "generating a serial digital color management data via said timing sequence control unit, according to a clock signal; converting said serial digital color management data to a plurality of parallel analog color data signals; and inputting said plurality of parallel analog color data signals to said plurality of drivers to correct a color of a pixel," as claimed in independent claim 21 (lines 6-11).

- 3. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

Page 6

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either

instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JEFF PIZIALI whose telephone number is (571)272-7678. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeff Piziali/

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

12 August 2008